Attorney's Docket No.: 12732-220001 / US7048

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Satoshi Seo, et al. Art Unit: 1774

Serial No.: 10/801,113 Examiner: Dawn L. Garrett

Filed : March 16, 2004 Conf. No. : 9191

Title : ELECTROLUMINESCENT DEVICE

Mail Stop Amendment

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW

Pursuant to United States Patent and Trademark Office OG Notices: 12 July 2005 - New Pre-Appeal Brief Conference Pilot Program, a request for a review of identified matters on appeal is hereby submitted with the Notice of Appeal. Review of these identified matters by a panel of examiners is requested because the rejections of record are clearly not proper and are without basis, in view of a clear legal or factual deficiency in the rejections. All rights to address additional matters on appeal in any subsequent appeal brief are hereby reserved.

Claims 1-28 are pending. Claims 5-8 have been withdrawn, leaving claims 1-4 and 9-28 under consideration with claims 1-4 being independent. Claims 1-4 and 9-24 are rejected as unpatentable over *Okada* (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0055014) in view of *Xie* (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0215667). Claims 25-28 are rejected as unpatentable over *Okada* in view of *Xie* and further in view of *Kawami* (U.S. Patent No. 5,929,561).

Applicant specifically asks the panel to review the issues highlighted below.

Applicant: Satoshi Seo, et al. Attorney's Docket No.: 12732-220001 / US7048

Serial No.: 10/801,113 Filed: March 16, 2004

Page : 2 of 4

1. There is no suggestion or motivation to combine Okada and Xie.

To establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness, there must be some suggestion or motivation, either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art, to modify the reference or to combine reference teachines.

The Examiner has failed to consider *Okada* and *Xie* in their entirety, including portions that would lead away from the claimed invention.

Okada discloses:

A light emitting device comprising a pair of electrodes formed on a substrate, and organic compound layers comprising a light-emitting layer provided in between the electrodes, wherein at least one of the organic compound layers comprises a heterocyclic compound having at least two hetero atoms and a phosphorescent compound."

Okada, Abstract (emphasis added).

Regarding the light-emitting layer, Okada states:

While the light-emitting layer preferably contains the aforementioned orthometalated metal complex, it can also comprise other light-emitting materials. Useful light-emitting materials other than the ortho-metalated metal complex include various metal complexes, typically metal complexes or rare-earth element complexes of benzoxazole, benzimidazole, benzothiazole, styrylbenzene, polyphenyl, diphenylbutadiene, tetraphenylbutadiene, naphthalimide, commartin...

Okada, para. [0223] (emphasis added).

Xie discloses: "The novel anthracene derivatives of this invention have sufficiently large bandgaps for effective energy transfer with a range of commonly available fluorescent dyes as dopants. Examples of such blue dopants include arylamines, commarins...." Xie, para. [0073] (emphasis added). Coumarin derivatives in accordance with the invention are illustrated in paragraphs [0081] – [0085].

In contrast to Okada, Xie does not teach or suggest metal complexes or rare-earth element complexes of coumarins. Furthermore, Xie states: "It is an advantage of the present invention, that the organic electroluminescent (EL) element, which belongs to anthracene, coumarine and

Attorney's Docket No.: 12732-220001 / US7048

Applicant: Satoshi Seo, et al. Serial No.: 10/801,113 Filed: March 16, 2004

Page : 3 of 4

benazole derivatives, or their combinations, provides thermally stable, glassy, and highly fluorescent materials in condensed thin films." Xie, para. [0037].

Thus, Okada teaches that the light emitting layer includes a phosphorescent compound. Okada further teaches that light-emitting materials may include metal complexes or rare-earth element complexes of coumarin. In contrast, Xie teaches highly fluorescent materials in condensed thin films. Xie does not teach or suggest metal complexes or rare-earth element complexes of coumarin.

A prior art reference must be considered in its entirety, including portions that would lead away from the claimed invention. The differences between the molecular processes involved in phosphorescence and fluorescence are well known. The fact that *Okada* requires a phosphorescent compound in the light emitting layer, while *Xie* teaches advantages of fluorescent compounds, constitutes a mutual teaching away. Motivation to combine the cited art simply does not exist.

The Examiner has therefore failed to establish a prima facie case of obviousness.

For at least these reasons, the 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) rejections of claims 1-4 and 9-24 over Okada in view of Xie should be withdrawn.

Furthermore, Kawami does not remedy the failure of the combination of Okada and Xie to describe or suggest the subject matter of the independent claims. For the at least this reason, the 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) rejections of claims 25-28 over Okada in view of Xie and Kawami also should be withdrawn.

2. There is no reasonable expectation of success.

Because of the different molecular processes involved in fluorescence and phosphorescence, the decay time of emitted light for fluorescent and phosphorescent compounds differs by orders of magnitude. Combining the metal-free dopants of Xie with the light emitting layer of Okada—when Okada specifically teaches phosphorescent metal complexes or rare-earth element complexes of coumarin—would change the principle of operation of the Okada device and/or render the Okada device unsatisfactory for its intended purpose.

Applicant: Satoshi Seo, et al. Attorney's Docket No.: 12732-220001/US7048 Serial No.: 10/891.113

Filed : March 16, 2004

Page : 4 of 4

As such, the 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) rejections of claims 1-4 and 9-24 over *Okada* in view of *Xie* and the rejections of claims 25-28 over *Okada* in view of *Xie* and *Kawami* should be withdrawn.

Applicant submits that all claims are in condition for allowance.

Please apply any charges, or any credits, to our deposit account no. 06-1050.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: July 27, 2007

Customer No. 26171 Fish & Richardson P.C. 1425 K Street, N.W. - 11th Floor Washington, DC 20005-3590 Telephone: (202) 783-5070 Facsimile: (202) 783-2331 /adt John F. Hayden Reg. No. 37,640